National Evaluation Wastewater Operator 104(g)(1) Training Program



produced by the

Maryland Center for Environmental Training of the College of Southern Maryland

in partnership with the

104(g)(1) grantees

with funding from the

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

April 2000

The Maryland Center for Environmental Training performed the 1999 National Program Evaluation and developed this report under EPA grant number X-993916-01-0.

The Maryland Center for Environmental Training and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, contributing agencies, organizations, and individuals cannot assume any liability for the accuracy or completeness of the information in this publication. Inclusion in this report is not an endorsement of the companies, products, technologies, or techniques mentioned.

Cover photograph by Jake Bair, Maryland Center for Environmental Training

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Curt Baranowski
EPA National Program Coordinator
Mail Code 4204
Office of Wastewater Management
Municipal Assistance Branch
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 260-5806
baranowski.curt@epa.gov
http://www.epa.gov/owm/tomm.htm

Maryland

http://www.mcet.org

Karen Brandt
Maryland Center for Environmental Training
College of Southern Maryland
P.O. Box 910, 8730 Mitchell Road
La Plata, MD 20646
(301) 934-7500
Fax: (301) 934-7685
kbrandt@mcet.org



National Evaluation Wastewater Operator 104(g)(1) Training Program



produced by the

Maryland Center for Environmental Training of the College of Southern Maryland

in partnership with the

104(g)(1) grantees

with funding from the

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

April 2000

Acknowledgments

he 1999 National Evaluation of the 104(g)(1) Wastewater Operator Training Program was performed by the Maryland Center for Environmental Training. The report was written, edited, and desktop published by Laura Ford and Susan Craton of the Maryland Center for Environmental Training, a department of the Economic and Community Development Institute at the College of Southern Maryland.

Generous contributions, including detailed survey responses, photographs, advice, and guidance, for this report were provided by the 104(g)(1) grantees and regional EPA coordinators.

Curt Baranowski, EPA's National 104(g)(1) Program Coordinator, provided project oversight and valuable assistance. Gary Hudiburgh, Branch Chief of the Municipal Assistance Branch, EPA Office of Wastewater Management, provided important direction for the project. Jim Kern, EPA Region 3, was the Grants Project Officer and played an instrumental role in bringing this report to completion.

Jake Bair, retired Director of the Maryland Center for Environmental Training and former key spokesperson for the 104(g)(1) program, contributed text and photographs for the report.

Contents

Executive Summary	1
National Overview of the 104(g)(1) Program	5
Region 1 Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont	15
Region 2 New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands	23
Region 3 Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia	27
Region 4 Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee	33
Region 5 Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin	39
Region 6 Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas	47
Region 7 Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska	51
Region 8 Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming	55
Region 9 Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada	61
Region 10 Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington	65
Appendix	69

Abstract

his report is intended to present an overview of the 104(g)(1) Wastewater Operator Training Program as it is currently being implemented throughout the country. All 104(g)(1) grantees and EPA regional coordinators were surveyed in April 1999 to collect data on:

- funding
- program staffing
- numbers of facilities assisted
- types of assistance provided
- referral sources
- circumstances for delivery of assistance
- factors limiting performance at plants
- factors limiting the assistance program's success
- reasons for and types of program successes

The survey responses and data from other program resources have been summarized and are presented in the Program Evaluation Report. Case studies are published in this evaluation to present vignettes of the program and the ways it works throughout the country.

The Operator Training Program began in 1982 to provide technical assistance to small wastewater treatment plants that were experiencing difficulties in meeting their discharge permits. Benefits to systems receiving 104(g)(1) assistance include improved compliance, cost savings for communities, enhanced operator professionalism and improved operations, maintenance, and safety.

Annual federal funding for the program has been less than \$2 million per year, plus matching funds from state and local governments and others. In FY99, 988 facilities received 104(g)(1) assistance, with a 93 percent success rate for achieving or maintaining compliance or improving performance. The assistance was provided at an average federal cost of \$1816 per project.

The 104(g)(1) on-site help is provided by technical assistance providers with substantial understanding of the challenges facing small systems. Assistance is comprehensive and often long-term. Approximately 550 small communities throughout the country are currently receiving assistance under the Operator Training Program.